

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,000	12/18/2001	Kevin L. Parsons	86604	8498
75	590 09/15/2003			
Richard L. Wood Welsh & Katz, LTD 120 South Riverside Plaza, 22nd Floor			EXAMINER	
			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	.J
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ΛK			
<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/025,000	PARSONS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) 🗌 📗	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)□ ¯	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
· _	laim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	laim(s) <u>1-6,8-11,13-18,20-23 and 25-32</u> is/are	e reiected.				
	laim(s) <u>7,12,19 and 24</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/025,000

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said slot". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-18, 20-23 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang.

Huang discloses (Fig. 1-4) the invention including a cutting device, a head member 10 having top side, left and right sides and a slot 15, a transverse slit 12, a cutting blade 21, first and second legs 40, a connector 30-32, a shield 11, a cutting chamber 17, first and second legs are in an open position said cutting blade is in a retracted condition (see Fig. 3), first and second legs are moved into a closed position/fully closed position, said cutting blade move into cutting

Page 3

engagement (see Fig. 4), a centrally located/an outer cutting tip or an outer contact point that is

located in axial alignment with said slot, an angled cutting surfaces, a guide 20, first and second

legs extension 30 and 31 are movable from a first retracted position (Fig. 4) to a second extended

position (Fig. 3), locking means/ a keyring opening/a latch (Fig. 1) and an enclosed end.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7, 12, 19 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Barrows, Smyres and Amagaya are cited to show related device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

September 3, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER